

(IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO .494 of 2007
YENITI APPARAO

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have gone through the record.

We find that since accused no.2 has been acquitted, conviction of appellant who is accused no.1, cannot be sustained.

Accordingly, we allow this appeal and conviction and sentence awarded to the appellant are set aside. Appellant is on bail. His bail bonds shall stand discharged.

Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.....J.

(ADARSH KUMAR GOEL)

.....J.

(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

New Delhi,

February 9, 2017.

ITEM NO.112 COURT NO.11 SECTION II
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s). 494/2007

YENITI APPARAO

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P.

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

Date : 09/02/2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Anu Gupta,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. D. Mahesh Babu,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

In terms of the signed order, the appeal is allowed.

(MAHABIR SINGH)

(SUMAN JAIN)

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

REVISED

ITEM NO.112 COURT NO.11 SECTION II
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s). 494/2007

YENITI APPARAO

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P.

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

Date : 09/02/2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s) Mr. A.T.M. Rangaramanujam,Sr.Adv.

Ms. Anu Gupta,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. D. Mahesh Babu,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

In terms of the signed order, the appeal is allowed.

(MAHABIR SINGH)

(SUMAN JAIN)

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)