

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).9724/2010
(From the judgement and order dated 28/05/2010 in CRLR No.
507/2010 of The HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI)

ASHOK MAHATO AND ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF JHARKHAND Respondent(s)
(With appln(s) for and office report)

Date: 14/01/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARJIT SINGH BEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Rana S. Biswas, Adv.
Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Hemant Singh, Adv.
Mr. Mridul Chakraborty, Adv.
Mr. Anurag Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The State has been served but has not
represented.

Leave granted.

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the
signed order.

(KALYANI GUPTA) (VINOD KULVI)
SR. P.A. COURT MASTER
[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE.]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.196 OF 2011
[ARISING OUF OF SLP(CRL.) NO. 9724 OF 2010]

ASHOK MAHATO AND ANR. APPELLANTS

VERSUS

STATE OF JHARKHAND RESPONDENT

O R D E R

1. The State has been served but is not represented.
2. Leave granted.
3. We see from the grounds of the Special Leave Petition that the petitioners were employed in the State of Haryana during the relevant days and were unaware of the legal proceedings and it was for that reason that there was a delay of 557 days in filing the criminal revision before the High Court.
4. In the light of the fact that the State has not chosen to contest the matter, we set aside the orders of the High Court dated 28th May, 2010 and remit the case to the High Court for decision afresh on merits.
5. The appeal stands disposed of.

.....J
[HARJIT SINGH BEDI]

.....J
[CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD]

NEW DELHI
JANUARY 14, 2011.