

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.11

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 6873/2014

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 06/11/2012 in WP No. 8032/2012 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad)

SAYYED RATANBHAI SAYEED (D)TH. LRS & ORS Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SHIRDI NAGAR PANCHAYAAT & ANR Respondent(s)
(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing substitution application, exemption from filing O.T. and permission to file additional documents and substitution and office report)(For Final Disposal)

WITH
C.A. No. 3154/2011
(With Office Report)

C.A. Nos. 3155-3157/2011
(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 3158/2011
(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 6874/2014
(With Office Report)

Date : 26/11/2015 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Siddharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anoopam Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Mohit Kumar Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Kulkarnee, Adv.
Ms. Vasundhara V., Adv.
Ms. Manju Jetley, Adv.

Mr. Arvind S. Avhad, Adv.
Mr. V. K. Sidharthan, Adv.

Signature Not Verified

Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

Digitally signed by
Sushil Kumar Rakheja
Date: 2015.11.28
11:55:08 IST
Reason:

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shekhar Naphade, Sr. Adv.
SLP(C) 6873/14 Mr. Sanjay Kharde, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, Adv.

Mr. Arvind V. Savant, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Arun R. Pedneker, Adv.
Mr. Preshit Surshe, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, Adv.

For State of Mah.

Mr. Venkata Krishna Kunduru, Adv.
Mr. Nishant R. Kanteshwarkar, Adv.
Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.

Mr. Amol Nirmalkumar Suryawanshi, Adv.

Ms. Chandan Ramamurthi, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned in the substitution application filed in
S.L.P. (C) No. 6873/2014 and the application for substitution is
allowed.

Leave granted in S.L.P. (C) No. 6873/2014 and S.L.P. (C)
No. 6874/2014.

Heard submissions of Mr. Arvind V. Savant and Mr. Shekhar
Naphade, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the
respondents. Also heard the reply submissions of Mr. Siddharth
Luthra, learned counsel for the appellants.

Learned counsel for the appellants in the Civil Appeal
arising out of S.L.P. (C) No. 6873/2014 has undertaken to produce
the Directives dated 14.03.1996 issued by the State Government
along with the Resolutions passed proposing the modification of the
proposal of the Development Plan in relation to Reservation No. 13.

Mr. Venkata Krishna Kunduru, learned counsel appearing
for the respondent-State submits that in compliance with the Order
dated 07.03.2014 of this Court, the response has already been
3

filed. He is further directed to find out from the State Government
and the Collector as to whether any alternative site is available
in the place of the proposed construction shown in the map annexed
to the concerned Decree. On this, he submits that he will take
instructions and make his written submission within a week.

The appeals are reserved for judgment.

Parties are given liberty to file the written submissions
within a week.