

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 3236/2011

HUBLI-DHARWAD URBAN DEV.AUTHORITY
t(s)

Appellan

VERSUS

NINGAMMA PATIL & ORS.
nt(s)

Responde

(with office report)

Date : 19/11/2014 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Ankolekar Gurudatta,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Dharam Bir Raj Vohra,Adv.
Mr. S. N. Bhat,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The office report is that service is complete on the respondent Nos.6 & 7, but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. The office report further is that the appellant and the respondent Nos.1-5 have failed to file the statement of case although they were notified to do so by notice dated 11.11.2011 of the Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules ,2013

provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing

Signature Not Verified

chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation

Digitally signed by
Sushma Kumari Bajaj
Date: 2014.11.21

leave to of petition for seeking special

Reason:
appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. The order further pr
ovides

that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above no further opportunity for filing the statement of case is warranted to be given to the parties. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

SB