

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).30571/2010

KANTA DEVI & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DHANNALAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP)

Date: 21/07/2011

This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Jyoti Upadhyay, Adv.
Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Akshay Verma, Adv.
Mr. Praveen Swarup, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

It is unfortunate that the Advocates appearing before this court are not aware about the facts and they are unable to help the judicial process.

The court has passed an order on 22.10.2010 to issue notice on application for condonation of delay as well as on special leave petition. The matter is pending since then for confirming service upon the unserved respondents. Dasti service was issued on 10.12.2010. There is an order dated Item No.67 -2-

31.1.2011 by the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers that if petitioner fails to pay process fee within three weeks, special leave petition stands dismissed. It seems that thereafter process fee is paid on 1.2.2011, however, with an application to condone the delay in filing the process fee and therefore, unfortunately the matter is lingering since February, 2011 till date for no valid reason.

Registry has to explain in writing to the undersigned that why notices are not issued after 1.12.2011

when petitioner has complied with the order dated 31.1.2011.

Issue notice upon the unserved respondent No.2 at the earliest in terms of the order dated 10.12.2010.

Dasti

is allowed which is permitted to be served through the nearest civil court or trial court with the permission to serve it even by affixing the same at the given address, if it could not be served in due course.

If outcome of dasti service is not filed on record before 22.9.2011, list before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for non-prosecution.

(S.G. SHAH)
REGISTRAR

rd