

ITEM NO.26

COURT NO.8

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).23428/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 16/07/2009 in
of The HIGH COURT OF PATNA)

LPA No.31/1992

DULHIN SUGI(D) TR.LRS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

JANKI DEVI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date: 05/09/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU

For Petitioner(s) Mr.P.S.Narshima, Sr.Adv.
 Mr.Saket Singh, Adv.
 Ms. Niranjana Singh,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.Nagendra Rai, Sr.Adv.
 Mr.Shantanu Sagar, Adv.
 Mr.Smarhar Singh, Adv.
 Mr. T. Mahipal,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

This petition is directed against the judgment of the Division Bench of the Patna High Court whereby the Letters Patent Appeal filed by the petitioners against the judgment rendered by the learned Single Judge in First Appeal No.736 of 1976 was dismissed.

We have heard Shri P.S.Narshima, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners for some time and carefully perused the record.

Even though the impugned judgment does not contain detailed reasons for negating the petitioners' challenge to the

2

judgment of the learned Single Judge, having carefully gone through that judgment and the record of the case, we are satisfied that the findings and conclusions recorded by the learned Single Judge for passing a decree in favour of the respondents were based on correct analysis of the pleadings of the parties and appreciation of evidence produced by them. Therefore, we do not consider it to be a

fit case for exercise of jurisdiction by this Court under Article
136 of the Constitution.

The special leave petition is accordingly dismissed.

(Satish K.Yadav)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master