

¼ITEM NO.33

COURT NO.6

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl)...../2010
CRLMP.NO(s). 20963/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 03/02/2010 in CRLA No. 283/2003
of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

RAVI KUMAR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF T.NADU Respondent(s)

With CRLMP.NO(s). 26513/2010 (c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

Date: 21/02/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. G. Sivabalamurugan, Adv.
Mr. Anis Mohd, Adv.
Mr. L.K. Pandey, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. S. Thananjayan, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

The Appeal is disposed of.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 509 OF 2011
(arising out of S.L.P. (Crl.) No. 1599 of 2011
arising out of CRLMP.NO(s). 20963 of 2010)

RAVI KUMAR Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF T.NADU Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned judgment of the High Court of Madras, Madurai Bench, dated 03.02.2010 passed in Criminal Appeal No. 283 of 2003.

The facts have been set out in the impugned judgment and hence we are not repeating the same here.

On the facts and circumstances of the case and to do substantial justice between the parties, we are of the opinion that ends of justice will be sub-served if, while upholding the conviction of the appellant, we reduce the period of sentence to the period already undergone by the appellant. We order accordingly.

:1:

The impugned judgment of the High Court is modified to the extent stated above. The Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

.....J.
(GYAN SUDHA MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
FEBRUARY 21, 2011.

:2: