

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).7817/2008

(From the judgement and order dated 01/08/2008 in CRLMC No. 2094/2008
of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

MUKESH KUMAR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for bail and permission to place addl. documents on
record and office report)

Date: 12/01/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LOKESHWAR SINGH PANTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Anupam Lal Das, Adv.
Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.
Mr. D.V. Singh, adv.
Mr. Arpit Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms.K. Amreshwari, Sr. adv.
Ms. Sadhna Sandhu, Adv.
Mrs Anil Katiyar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Leave granted.

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

(Ajay Kr. Jain)
Court Master

(Vinod Kulvi)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 52 OF 2009
(Arising out of SLP(Crl.) No.7817 of 2008)

Mukesh Kumar Appellant

Versus

State (NCT of Delhi) Respondent

ORDER

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.
Leave granted.

In the facts and circumstances of the case the impugned order dated
01.08.2008 is modified to the extent that condition of depositing FDR in the sum of
Rs. 5 lakhs in the name of the Learned District and Session Judge, Delhi shall stand

deleted therefrom. However, the appellant shall furnish a bail bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court and shall further abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) That he shall not leave Delhi without prior permission of the Trial Court.
- (ii) He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court
- (iii) He shall not interfere with the smooth and proper conduct of the trial and/or investigation.

With the above modification in the impugned judgment and order, the appeal is disposed of.

.....J.

(LOKESHWAR SINGH PANTA)

.....J.

(B. SUDERSHAN REDDY)

New Delhi
January 12, 2009