

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 8507 OF 2014

R. RATHNAMMA & ORS. APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

C. RAHURAMA REDDY & ORS. RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Though duly served with the notice of alternative arrangement, appellant Nos. 1 to 3 have not taken any steps to make alternative arrangements so far. It appears that the appellant Nos. 1 to 3 are not interested in prosecuting the matter. The appeal of the appellant Nos. 1 to 3 is therefore dismissed for want of prosecution.

.....J.
[B.R. GAVAI]

NEW DELHI,
SEPTEMBER 03, 2020.

ITEM NO.22 Court 10 (Video Conferencing) SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 8507/2014

R.RATHNAMMA & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

C. RAHURAMA REDDY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(OFFICE REPORT FOR DIRECTION IN C.A. NO. 8507/2014 AND C.A. NO. 8528/2014 TO BE LISTED BEFORE THE HON'BLE JUDGE IN CHAMBERS.

IA No. 151553/2018 - APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING THE APPLICATION FOR SETTING ASIDE THE ABATEMENT

IA No. 151549/2018 - APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION

IA No. 151551/2018 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING SUBSTITUTION APPLN.

IA No. 151552/2018 - SETTING ASIDE AN ABATEMENT)

WITH

C.A. No. 8528/2014 (IV-A)

(OFFICE REPORT FOR DIRECTION.)

Date : 03-09-2020 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.R. GAVAI
[IN CHAMBER]

For Appellant(s)

Dr. (Mrs.) Vipin Gupta, AOR
Mr. Shailesh Madiyal, AOR
Mr. Amith S.J. Adv.
Mr. Krishna Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Shailesh Madiyal, AOR
Dr. (Mrs.) Vipin Gupta, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

C.A. No. 8507/2014

Though duly served with the notice of alternative arrangement, appellant Nos. 1 to 3 have not taken any steps to make

alternative arrangements so far. It appears that the appellant Nos. 1 to 3 are not interested in prosecuting the matter. The appeal of the appellant Nos. 1 to 3 is therefore dismissed for want of prosecution.

Delay in filing application for substitution in respect of Respondent No.10 and in filing application for setting aside the abatement is condoned.

Abatement is set aside.

Application for substitution in respect of legal representatives of deceased Respondent No.10 is allowed. Cause title be amended accordingly.

(JAGDISH KUMAR)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(KAMLESH RAWAT)
BRANCH OFFICER

(Singed Order in C.A.No(s). 8507 of 2014 is placed on the file)