

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.36393/2010  
(From the judgment and order dated 15/10/2008 in WP No.1570/1998 of the  
HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD, BENCH AT LUCKNOW)

STATE OF U.P.&amp; ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

D.P.SINGH

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and exemption from filing O.T. and  
permission to file additional documents and permission to file additional  
affidavit and office report)

[FOR FINAL DISPOSAL]

Date: 22/08/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Garvesh Kabra, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Chaudhary, Adv.

Mr. Aman Mishra, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Manoj Kumar Dwivedi, Adv.

for Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

It has been pointed out by the learned counsel for the  
respondent that the special leave petition is liable to be  
dismissed only on the ground of delay as the State has failed to  
satisfactorily explain why the special leave petition was filed  
after a delay of a period of 620 days.

...2/-

:2:

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and perused  
the paper book, we are not satisfied that the State was  
justified in not filing the special leave petition within the  
period of limitation. We see no reason to condone the delay in  
filing the special leave petition. Therefore, the special leave  
petition is dismissed on the ground of delay alone. We make it  
clear that we have not examined the merits of the issue  
involved. The parties are at liberty to raise all the issues in  
any other proceedings, if permissible in law.

|(VINOD LAKHINA)

|COURT MASTER

|(INDU BALA KAPUR)

| |COURT MASTER

