

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).26038/2008

(From the judgement and order dated 31/07/2008 in RCR No.166/2008 of The HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM)

P.LAKSHMI Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

T.V.AHAMED KUTTY HAJI Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 22/10/2008 This Petition was mentioned today.

CORAM :  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

For Petitioner(s) Mr. K. Rajeev,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

Taken on Board.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

We do not find any ground to interfere with the  
impugned order.

The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed.  
...2/-

-2-

The petitioner is, however, granted time till 31st July, 2009, to vacate the premises in question upon filing usual undertaking in this Court within four weeks from today.

It is directed that in case the petitioner fails to vacate the premises in question within the aforesaid time, it would be open to the decree holder to file an execution petition for delivery of possession and in case such a petition has been already filed, an application shall be filed therein to the effect that the petitioner has not vacated the premises in question within the time granted by this Court. In either eventuality, the Executing Court is not required to issue any notice to the petitioner. The Executing Court will see that delivery of possession is effected within a period of fifteen

days from the date of filing of the execution petition or the application aforementioned. In case for delivery of possession any armed force is necessary, the same shall be deputed by the Superintendent of Police within forty eight hours from the date requisition is received therefor. It is also directed that in case anybody else, other than the petitioner, is found in possession, he shall also be dispossessed from the premises in question.

Needless to say that if the respondent feels aggrieved by this part of the order, it shall be open to him to seek its recall.

[ T.I. Rajput ]  
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[ Savita Sainani ]  
Court Master