

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 1187 OF 2009

ANSHU BANSAL Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AMIT BANSAL Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for ex-Parte stay and office report)

Date: 26/2/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.S. SIRPURKAR
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Parmanand Gaur,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Transfer Petition is allowed in terms of signed order.

(Pardeep Kumar) (Shashi Bala Vij)
Court Master Court Master
[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NO. 1187 OF 2009

ANSHU BANSAL ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

AMIT BANSAL ...RESPONDENT

O R D E R

1. This transfer petition has been filed by the petitioner-wife seeking transfer of HMA Petition No. 66/209, titled Amit Bansal Vs. Anshu, from the court of learned District Judge,

Fatehabad, Haryana to the court of District Judge, Rohini Courts,
Delhi.

2. Despite service of notice, none is present on behalf of the
respondent.

3. We have heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
and gone through the petition.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case and
looking to the convenience of the wife, we deem it proper to
transfer HMA Petition No. 66/209, titled Amit Bansal Vs. Anshu,
from the court of learned District Judge, Fatehabad to the court
of learned District Judge, Delhi, who may hear the petition

: 2 :

either himself or assign the same to a court of competent
jurisdiction. Ordered accordingly.

5. The Transfer Petition is allowed accordingly.

.....J.
[V.S. SIRPURKAR]

.....J.
[DR. MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA]

NEW DELHI
FEBRUARY 26, 2010.