

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
 CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
 CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 2265 OF 2010

HARYANA STATE MINOR IRRIGATION  
 & TUBEWELLS CORPORATION

... APPELLANT(

S)

VERSUS

KRISHAN KUMAR

...RESPONDENT(

S)

O R D E R

Despite service of notice, none appears on behalf  
 of the sole-respondent.

The impugned judgment and order dated 21.07.2008  
 passed by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana

at

Chandigarh in Civil Revision No. 5323 of 2007 affirming  
 the order of the learned Additional District Judge in  
 dismissing the appeal of the appellant herein for not  
 furnishing sufficient reason for non-appearance of any  
 official of the appellant-Corporation on 09.11.20

05,

therefore, the learned Additional District Ju

dge

declined to exercise the discretionary power to condone  
 the delay in filing Miscellaneous Petition

for

recalling the order by recording reasons with reference

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by  
 Vinod Kumar

to the judgments of this Court, the correctness of the

Date: 2015.12.05

10:16:00 IST

Reason:

same is questioned before us in this civil appeal. In

the impugned judgment, the High Court in exercise of

2

its Revisional jurisdiction, also did not find any

error in the order passed by the learned Additional



(S. K. RAKHEJA)  
COURT MASTER

(MALA KUMARI SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)