

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 1728/2010

AHMEDABAD DIST.COOP.BANK LTD.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

DHARMANAGAR COOP.HNG.STY.LTD.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with interim relief and office report)

Date : 21/11/2014 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Ms.Neha Agarwal,adv.  
Mr. E. C. Agrawala,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms.Swati Vaibhav,adv.  
Ms. Hemantika Wahi,Adv.  
Ms.Bina Madhavan,adv.  
Mr.Shinjan Khosla,adv.  
M/s. Lawyer S Knit & Co,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The office report is that the appellant and the appearing respondents have failed to file the statement of case, although they were notified to do so by notice dated 20.02.2013 of the Registry. Service of notice is complete on the respondent No.6, but no one has entered appearance on his behalf. the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that Order XIX Rule 32 of if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed

Signature Not Verified

at the time of presentation of petition

Digitally signed by  
cial

for seeking spe

Sushma Kumari Bajaj

Date: 2014.11.24

leave

10:06:55 IST

Reason: to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case,and does

not desire to file any further statement of case. The order furthe

r

.....2

provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above no further opportunity for filing the statement of case is warranted to be given to them. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)  
Registrar

SB