

SECTION-IV
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6825 OF 2008

State of Haryana

....Appellants

VERSUS

Harnam Singh(Dead) Thr. Lrs. & Ors.

...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT ON PRE-FINAL HEARING

The Office Report is prepared as per Circular No.F.41/Judl./2008 dated 17th December, 2008:-

- (a) There are Respondent Nos. 1(a) to 1(i), 2(i) to 2(iii), 3 and 4. Respondent Nos.1(a) to 1(i) are represented through Mr. Ashok Kumar Mahajan, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2(i) to 2(iii) are represented through Mr. R.C. Kaushik, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 have expired and they had no legal heirs during their lifetime. Lrs. of deceased Respondent No.2 are entitled to represent them before this Hon'ble Court.
- (b) Original record in the appeal has been returned to concerned High Court vide office order dated 03.12.2014.
- (c) Not applicable.
- (d) Not applicable.
- (e) No application for substitution is pending.
- (f) Counsel for Appellant as well as Respondents have not filed Statement of Case. In terms of Rule 32, Sub Rule-2 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. If the Respondent has not filed statement of case within the stipulated time as provided in Sub Rule-1 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the respondent does not desire to lodge statement of case in the appeal.
- (g) Not applicable.

Dated this the 12th day of September, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Dr. Monika Gusain, Advocate.
15, Lawyers Chambers , Supreme Court of India , New Delhi
2. Mr. Ashok. K. Mahajan, Advocate.
F-10, Bali Nagar, New Delhi-15
3. Mr. R.C. Kaushik, Advocate
C-4/93-2, Safdarjung Development Area, New Delhi

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR