

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).26322/2008

(From the judgement and order dated 18/09/2008 in CMWP No.48670/2008 of
The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

U.P.(PASCHIM) GANNA BEEZ AVOM VIKAS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAMESH CHANDRA

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and prayer for interim relief)

Date: 14/11/2008 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Vishwajit Singh,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

We do not find any ground to interfere with the
impugned order.

The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

....2/-

-2-

The petitioner is, however, granted time till 31st
August, 2009, to vacate the premises in question upon filing
usual undertaking in this Court within six weeks from
today.

It is directed that in case the petitioner fails to
vacate the premises in question within the aforesaid time, it
would be open to the decree holder to file an execution
petition for delivery of possession and in case such a petition
has been already filed, an application shall be filed therein
to the effect that the petitioner has not vacated the premises
in question within the time granted by this Court. In either
eventuality, the Executing Court is not required to issue any
notice to the petitioner. The Executing Court will see that
delivery of possession is effected within a period of fifteen
days from the date of filing of the execution petition or the
application aforementioned. In case for delivery of
possession any armed force is necessary, the same shall be
deputed by the Superintendent of Police within forty eight

hours from the date requisition is received therefor. It is also directed that in case anybody else, other than the petitioner, is found in possession, he shall also be dispossessed from the premises in question.

Needless to say that if the respondent feels aggrieved by this part of the order, it shall be open to him to seek its recall.

[T.I. Rajput]
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[Savita Sainani]
Court Master