

!

ITEM NO.4

COURT NO.2

SECTION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)

No(s). 1941/2012

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10/05/2011
in CRLA No. 618/2002 passed by the High Court Of Punjab & Haryana
At Chandigarh)

KARAMJIT SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for bail and office report)
(For Final Disposal)

Date : 25/11/2014 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Tanvy Bagga Sharma,Adv.
Mr. Rajinder Mathur,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ajay Bansal,AAG
Mr. Kuldip Singh,Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Yadava,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties at considerable
length who have taken us through the order passed by the trial
court as also that passed by the High Court. Prosecution's case
no doubt rests entirely on circumstantial evidence adduced at the
trial.

Signature Not Verified

The courts below have, on a proper appreciation of the

Digitally signed by
Mahabir Singh
Date: 2014.11.27

evidence, held that the prosecution had not only established the

16:47:02 IST
Reason:

motive for the commission of the crime but also proved a series of
other circumstances that formed a complete chain and led to an

irresistible conclusion about the guilt of the accused.

Seizure

of a strand of hair of one of the accused, Tarsem Singh, from the closed fist of the deceased-Balwinder Singh; presence of a foot-print at the spot that matched the foot-print of the accused-Tarsem Singh and seizure of the weapon of offence, stained with human blood, at the instance of the Karamjit Singh, the petitioner in the case at hand, were circumstances that were firmly established. Extra-judicial confession of the accused was also proved at the trial which the courts below accepted while recording a finding of guilt against the petitioner. There was also evidence that the accused persons were seen at an odd hour of night hurrying towards the village from the place of occurrence. All these irresistibly led to the inference that the crime in-question was committed only by the accused who happened to be real brothers although Tarsem Singh-coaccused has died during the pendency of the proceedings before the High Court.

Having given our careful consideration to the proved circumstances and the reasoning given by the courts below, we are of the view that the courts below did not commit any error much less any perversity in finding the petitioner guilty and sentencing him suitably.

This special leave petition therefore fails and is hereby dismissed.

(MAHABIR SINGH)
COURT MASTER

(VEENA KHERA)
COURT MASTER