

ITEM NO.110

COURT NO.8

SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 814-816/2013

AKHIL DADRA &amp; NAGAR HAVELI KAMGAR SANGH

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

KRANTIKARI KAMGAR UNION &amp; ORS. Etc.

Respondent(s)

WITH

C.A. No. 9926/2016 (III)

C.A. No. 819/2013 (III)

C.A. No. 817/2013 (III)

C.A. No. 820/2013 (III)

C.A. No. 818/2013 (III)

Date : 27-09-2018 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEET SARAN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. C.U.Singh, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Nitin S.Tambwekar, Adv.  
Mr. B.S.Sai, Adv.  
Mr. K. Rajeev, AOR

Mr. Seshatalpa Sai Bandaru, AOR

Ms. Abha R. Sharma, AOR

Mr. Chander Shekhar Ashri, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Sanjay Singhvi, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Jain Cox, Adv.

Ms. Aparna Bhat, AOR  
Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Suvarna Ganu, Adv.  
Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, AOR

Mr. K. Rajeev, AOR

Mr. Ashok Kumar Panda, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Kiran Bhardwaj, Adv.  
Ms. Asha G.Nair, Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh K.Singh, Adv.  
Mr. A.K.Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Raj Bahadur, Adv.  
Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR  
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, AOR

Ms. Abha R. Sharma, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Let the Central Government file an affidavit as to the applicability of the Central Trade Union Regulations, 1938, whether they were repealed vide notification dated 04.07.1941. In case they were repealed, what was necessity to substitute the word 'province' with the 'State' by AO 1950.

Let the affidavit be filed, whether Rule cease to be in force.

List these matters on Tuesday i.e. 23.10.2018.

(SHASHI SAREEN)  
AR CUM PS

(JAGDISH CHANDER)  
BRANCH OFFICER