

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).27464/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 25/05/2009 in CR No. 3678/2008 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

VIRENDER KUMAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S EXPRESS PROJECTS P.LTD.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and prayer for interim relief)

Date: 06/11/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. C.A. Sundaram, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Indu Malhotra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Nelin Talwar, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Bhat, Adv.
Mr,. Saurabh Misra, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Mehta,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

No ground is made out for interference under
Article 136 of the Constitution of India.

The petitioner submits that having regard to the
scope of suits for specific performance, particularly,
the law laid down by this Court in Bharat Karsondas
Thakkar Vs. Kiran Construction Company & Ors., (2008) 13
SCC 658 and Kasturi Vs. Iyyamperumal & Ors., (2005) 6 SCC
733, the scope of the suit for specific performance
cannot be enlarged. That issue does not arise at this
.....2.

- 2 -

ITEM NO.38 COURT NO.5 DATED 06/11/2009 ...contd.

stage as we are only examining whether we should
interfere in regard to impleading. If and when the
impleaded defendants want to enlarge the scope of the
suit by filing a counter claim, it is open to the
petitioner to urge all these contentions before the trial
Court.

Special leave petition is dismissed.

( Ravi P. Verma )
Court Master

( M.S. Negi )
Court Master