

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS.3521-3522/2010**

**PANKIRAJ (DEAD) AND ORS.**

**APPELLANT(S)**

**VERSUS**

**V.DEVADOSS AND ANR.**

**RESPONDENT(S)**

**O R D E R**

1. The present appeals are offshoot of Original Suit No.48 of 1987 filed by respondent no. 1 and a Probate Petition bearing O.S.No.68 of 1987 filed by the appellants herein. The learned Trial Court decreed the Probate Petition whereas dismissed the suit for partition. The learned Single Bench of the High Court vide order dated 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2002 in C.M.A. No.889 of 1992 and A.S. No.479 of 1993 reversed the orders passed by the learned Trial Court and decreed the partition suit whereas the suit filed for probate was dismissed.

2. The Intra-Court appeals bearing LPA Nos.23 and 24 of 2003, filed against the judgment and decree of the learned

Single Bench of the High Court were dismissed on 18.06.2009. The aforesaid Division Bench's judgment of the High Court is impugned before this Court.

3. The appellants have filed an application bearing I.A. No.157063 of 2023 stating that the disputes between the parties stand resolved and a compromise deed dated 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2019 has been signed, which has been annexed along with the aforesaid application. The compromise deed has been duly signed by all the parties and their respective counsels.

4. Notice in the application was issued to the respondents.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents admitted that the disputes between the parties stand resolved on signing of the compromise deed on 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2019.

6. It was further submitted that whatever actions are required to be taken by the parties in terms of the aforesaid compromise shall be taken after passing of decree by this Court.

7. After hearing learned counsel appearing for the parties and considering the fact that the disputes between the parties stand dissolve in terms of the compromise deed signed on 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2019. The present appeals are accordingly disposed of in terms of the aforesaid

compromise deed which both the parties agree to comply with. The impugned judgment and decree of the High Court is set aside. The Compromise Deed entered into among the parties shall form part of the decree drawn by this Court.

8. Pending applications stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(RAJESH BINDAL)

.....J.  
(MANMOHAN)

NEW DELHI;  
AUGUST 07, 2025.

ITEM NO.125

COURT NO.16

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 3521-3522/2010

PANKIRAJ (DEAD) AND ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

V.DEVADOSS AND ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 157063/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 07-08-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Sumit Srivaastava, AOR

Mr. Danish Zubair Khan, AOR  
Mr. Antony R. Julian, Adv.  
Mr. George Pothan Poothicote, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. K. Krishna Kumar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed order which is placed on the file.

Pending application, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(KAVITA PAHUJA)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(AKSHAY KUMAR BHORIA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)