

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 17896/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 16-02-2026 in WPC No. 2138/2026 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

RENU SHARMA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KENDRIYA VIDYALAYA SANGATHAN & ANR.

Respondent(s)

[IA No. 154637/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No. 156814/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES]

Date : 25-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Arundhati Katju, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ali Chaudhary, Adv.
Ms. Ritika Meena, Adv.
Mr. Rachit Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Sahil Akhtar, Adv.
Mr. Yogesh Vats, Adv.
Ms. Manju Jetley, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in a similar situation as of that of the petitioner herein, the respondent no. 1 had selected a candidate by name Sh. Chander Prakash for the post of TGT (Drawing) vide memorandum dated 02.08.2011, whereunder he was holding very same four years' diploma in Applied Art awarded by the institute i.e. Shilpa Bharti

Institute Of Fine Arts & Crafts, New Delhi and the Government of Rajasthan had specifically clarified vide Department of Education Letter Dated 28.07.1997 and 22.07.1993 addressed to the Director, Shilpa Bharti Institute Of Fine Arts, South Patel Nagar, Delhi that four years' diploma in Applied Arts after 10+2 is recognized as equivalent to five years' degree diploma after tenth class examination.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would also fairly admit that the extant rules governing has since been changed.

3. In that view of the matter, we issue notice to respondents to ascertain the factual position, returnable in eight weeks.

(DEEPANSHU)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(AVGV RAMU)
COURT MASTER (NSH)