

ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.11

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).36286-36314/2011

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 26/06/2008 in MFA No. 5051-5060/2004, 5062-5070/04, 5073-5079/04, 5072/04, 5081/04 with CWC Nos.254, 255, 273, 256, 267, 275, 266, 271, 286, 257, 272, 265, 263, 274, 285, 283, 284, 278, 269, 264, 276, 261, 279, 280, 262, 260, 282, 277 and 281/2004 respectively passed by the High Court Of Karnataka At Bangalore)

CHIEF CONSTRUCTION ENG.BANGALORE DRDO Petitioner(s)
VERSUS

NANJUNDAPPA & ANR. Respondent(s)
(with office report for direction)

Date : 08/05/2015 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY MANOHAR SAPRE

For Petitioner(s) Mrs. Anil Katiyar,Adv.

Ms. Indu Malhotra, Sr.Adv.
Ms. Kiran Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr.BVB Balramdas, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Gautam Narayan,Adv.

Mr. Raghavendra S. Srivatsa,Adv.

Mr. S. N. Bhat,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The petitioner herein has neither been diligent in prosecuting, nor in seeking restoration of its SLPs, which were dismissed by this Court vide the order dated 10.05.2012. The dismissal was for want of compliance of this Court's earlier directions, the Petitioner having not filed process fee and spare copy, nor the proof of deposit of compensation as per the direction dated 02.12.2011. The substitution application, in addition thereto, was defectively submitted. Though the Court had in its

order of dismissal left it open to the Petitioners herein to file a restoration application for these SLPs, we yet find that the office report for this restoration application indicates continuing uncured defects, warranting dismissal hereof.

The Application for restoration of Special Leave Petitions is dismissed.

(USHA BHARDWAJ)
AR-CUM-PS

(SAROJ SAINI)
COURT MASTER