

Z

ITEM NO.10

COURT NO.9

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).29116/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 22/03/2011 in SA No.1266/2002 of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

NADIAMMAL & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MEENAKSHI @ GOVINDAMMAL

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file Volume-II and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 08/08/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. R. Ayyam Perumal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.P.H.Parekh, Sr.Adv.
Mr.T.Krishnamurthy, Adv.
Mr.V.Kalyanaraman, Adv.
Mr.Nitin Thukral, Adv.
Mr.Galav Sharma, Adv.
Mrs.Subhasree, Adv.
For M/S. Parekh & Co., Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties, who have taken us through the judgments impugned in this special leave petition. It is common ground that all the three Courts have concurrently found the plaintiff-respondent entitled to a decree for possession of Item 10 and 11 of the Schedule C(2) property. We see no reason much less a compelling one to interfere with the said concurrent findings of fact recorded by the Courts below.

The special leave petition is accordingly dismissed.

(Satish K.Yadav)
Court Master

(Veena Khera)
Court Master