

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).2625/2007

(From the judgement and order dated 14/08/2006 in RFA No. 385/2001 of The
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE)

KAMALA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KALYANI SHERIGARTHI & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and prayer for interim relief and office
report))

Date: 26/02/2007 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.P. SINGH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. BEDI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Romy Chacko,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the lease deed of the year 1956

e having not been accepted by the High Court, the plaintiff could not have been

given 6/15th share in the properties and the High Court ought to have taken

into account the lease deed produced by the 1st defendant (petitioner herein),

, and should have calculated their shares accordingly. According to him the

plaintiff may be allowed a share as heir of the father but that could not be equal

to the share of Defendant No.1 who claims to be the only co-owner with the

deceased father.

Issue Notice.

(Sukhbir Paul Kaur)

Court Master

(Vijay Dhawan)

Court Master