

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).6073/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 05/07/2011 in WA No.976/2011 of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

CHO NAM GIL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

CHIEF IMMIGRATON OFFICER & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to travel Korea and office report)
I.A. Nos. 3 and 4 (appln. for impeladment and exem. from fiing official translations)

with

(SLP(Crl.) No. 9475 of 2011
(with appln. for stay and office report)
(For final disposal)

Date: 12/02/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. R.Prabhakaran, Adv.
 Mr. G.S.Mani, Adv.
 Mr. R. Sathish,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. T.A.Khan, Adv.
 MS. Anjali Chauhan, Adv.
 Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,Adv.

Mr. P.V.Shetty, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Joseph Aristotle S. ,Adv
Ms. Priya Aristotle, Adv.

Ms. V.Mohana, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Pursuant to our direction dated 01.02.2013 Respondent No. 3-complainant is present. An application for intervention has been filed by one Jayammal who is also present in person. Learned counsel appearing for the

-2-

intervenor submits that there is another complaint which has culminated in the filing of the charge sheet against the petitioner before the competent court.

We have heard Mr. P.V,Shetty, learned senior counsel for respondent No. 3 as also the intervenor. The petitioner is not, however, present. In the facts and circumstances of the case, we deem it appropriate to direct his presence with an interpretor. Learned counsel for the petitioner shall ensure his presence on that date and to state whether the petitioner is ready and willing for a settlement either with the help of the mediation centre or a reference an agreed

Arbitrator.
Post on 19.02.2013.

| (Shashi Sareen)
| Court Master

| | (Veena Khera)
| | Court Master

| |
| |