

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 9336/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 07-05-2026 in MCRC No. 19100/2026 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh at Gwalior]

SHIVANI RAJAWAT

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

Respondent(s)

IA No. 153847/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 153843/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 20-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ashish Pandey, AoR  
Mr. Ashutosh Bhardwaj, Adv.  
Ms. Aishwarya Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Shubham Saxena, Adv.  
Mr. Prateek Rai, Adv.  
Mr. Anmol Goyal, Adv.  
Mr. Abdhesh Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Yashraj Singh Bundela, AoR  
Mr. Surjeet Singh, Adv.

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State who has appeared on advance notice.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with FIR No.0122/2026, dated 25.03.2026, registered with Police Station Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, for the offences punishable under Section 306 IPC.

3. The allegation against the petitioner and other co-accused is

that the petitioner was in an affair with the deceased and she was in the habit of taking money and when the demand kept on increasing coupled with the threat that she would falsely implicate the deceased, he committed suicide.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she is a young girl pursuing her studies. It is submitted that FIR has been registered almost after two years and that these allegations are blatantly false and fabricated.

5. Learned counsel for the State submitted that the petitioner and her sister used to extort the deceased for money which has also been captured on CCTV. It was further contended that the evidence which has come, indicates that even the brother of the deceased was under pressure to arrange for money to be paid to the petitioner.

6. Having considered the matter in its entirety, we do not find a case for anticipatory bail is made out in the particular facts and circumstances of the present case. Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed.

7. Be that as it may, if the petitioner appears before the Court below and seeks bail within two weeks from today, the same shall be considered on its own merits without being prejudiced either by the impugned order or the present order.

(IRAMNAZ)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANJALI PANWAR)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR