

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 122 OF 2008

JANHIT MANCH & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA
(With appln(s)
office report)

for stay and exemption from filing O.T. and
Respondent(s)

Date: 08/09/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Prashant Bhushan,Adv.
Ms. Indira Unninayar, Adv.
Mr. Kirat Randhawa, Adv.
Mr. Pradeep Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. B. Krishna Prasad ,Adv

State of Tripura/
Bihar Mr. Gopal Singh,Adv
Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Sikkim Mr. A Mariarputham, Sr. Adv.
Mrs. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv.
For M/s Arputham Aruna & Co.

Ms. Hemantika Wahi ,Adv

Ms. Kamini Jaiswal,Adv

State of U.P. Mr. Shrish Kumar Misra,Adv
Mr. Ajay Kr. Singh, Adv.

State of W.B. Mr. Soumitra G Chaudhary, Adv.
Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, Adv.
Mr.B.P. Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Mohan Jain, ASG
Ms. Indira Sawhney, Adv.
Ms. Gargi Khanna, Adv.
Mr. D.K. Thakur, Adv.
Mr. S.W.A. Qadri, Adv.
Mr. Zaid Ali, Adv.
Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

...2/-

:2:

UT of Puducherry Mr. V.G. Pragasam ,Adv
Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv.
Mr. Prabhu Rama Subramanian, Adv.

State of M.P. Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.
Mr. M.P. Singh, Adv.

State of Manipur Mr.Khwairakpam Nobin Singh,Adv

State of Orrisa	Mr. Radha Shyam Jena,Adv
State of Arunachal Pradesh	Mr. Anil Shrivastav,Adv Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv. Mr. Uday U. Lalit, Sr. Adv. Mr. Sanjay V. Kharde, Adv. Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair ,Adv
State of Haryana	Mr. Manjit Singh, AAG Mr. Tarjit Singh, Adv. Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta,Adv
State of A.P.	Mr. G.N. Reddy, Adv. Mr. C. Kannan, Adv. Mr. Ravi Shankar, Adv.
State of Goa	Ms. A. Subhashini, Adv.
State of Mizoram	Mr. Pragyan P. Sharma, Adv. Ms. Mandakini Sharma, Adv. Mr. Rupesh Gupta, Adv. Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran, Adv. Mr. Surendra Kr. Maurya, Adv. Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv. Mr. R. Sathish, Adv.
State of Nagaland	Mr. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv. Mr. Edward Belho, Adv. Mr. Nimshim, Adv. Mr. Ratan Kumar Choudhuri ,Adv
State of Uttarakhand	Mr. Ashutosh Kr. Sharma, Adv. Ms. Rachana Srivastava ,Adv
State of Assam	Mr. Navneet Kumar, Adv. for M/S Corporate Law Group Ms. Anitha Shenoy ,Adv
State of Chhattisgarh	Mr. Atul Jha, Adv. Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha,Adv

...3/-

:3:

	Mr. T. Harish Kumar,Adv
State of Rajasthan	Dr. Manish Singhvi, AAG Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv. Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.
State of Punjab	Mr. Anil Grover, AAG Ms. Noopur Singhal, Adv. Mr. Ajay Pal,Adv.
State of Meghalaya	Mr. Ranjan K. Mukherjee, Adv. Mr. S. Bhowmick, Adv. Mr. S.C. Ghosh, Adv.
State of J&K	Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv. Mr. Suhaas Joshi, Adv. Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv.

HC Of Bombay	Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv. Mr. Charudatta Mahendrakar, Adv. Ms. Rucha A. Mayee, Adv.
HC of Kerala	Mr. T.G. Narayanan Nair, Adv. Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv.
DHC	Mr. Annam D.N. Rao, Adv. Ms. Neelam Jain, Adv. Mr. Aditya Sengar, Adv. Mr. G. Prakash, Adv.
HC Of Calcutta	Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv. Mr. G.S. Chatterjee, Adv. Mr. Raja Chatterjee, Adv.
HC of Allahabad	Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, Adv. Mr. Vibhu Tiwari, Adv. Mrs. Deepti R. Mehrotra, Adv. Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.
HC of J&K	Mr. Bharat Sangal, Adv. Ms. Vernika Tomar, Adv. Ms. Srijana Lama, Adv. Mr. P.I. Jose, Adv.
H.C. of Orissa	Mr. Sibho Sankar Mishra, Adv.

...4/-

:4:

Uts.	Mr. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv. Mr. H.S. Sachdeva, Adv. Ms. Sadhana Sandhu, Adv. Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.
HC of Rajasthan	Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, Adv. Mr. Parmatma Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Some data regarding sanctioned, working
and vacancy position of judges of the High Courts
and Judicial Officers in the Subordinate Courts
has been compiled by the office. However, We
find that many High Courts have still not
furnished the requisite data sought for vide
Orders dated 24th February, 2011 and 14th July,
2011. Learned counsel appearing for some of the
High Courts have stated that requisite

information in terms of order dated 14th July, 2011 could not be furnished because the High Courts were not aware as to format in which the

said information is to be furnished. In view of

the submission, we clarify that the information to be furnished in terms of our latter order has

to be in the same format, in which the information was to be furnished in terms of the

former order. Let all the High Courts, which

have not so far furnished the required information in terms of our aforementioned orders, do the needful within six weeks.

...5/-

:5:

We would appreciate if Ms. Indira Unninar, learned counsel assisting Mr. Prashant Bhushan, counsel for the petitioners, also renders her services to the office in compiling the information received from various High Courts. To ensure that there is no discrepancy between the information furnished in Civil Appeal No. 1867 of 2006 [Malik Mazhar Sultan & Anr. versus Public Service Commission & Ors.] and in this case, the learned counsel shall be permitted to inspect the record of the said case.

Call the matter on 3rd November, 2011 at

3.00 p.m.

(VINOD LAKHINA)
Court Master

(KUSUM GULATI)
Court Master