

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

Writ Petition (C) No.122/2008

JANHIT MANCH &amp; ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA Respondent(s)  
(With appln.(s) for stay, exemption from filing O.T. and  
office report)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 27019/2011  
(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing O.T. & for permission  
to appear and argue in person)S.L.P.(C)...CC No. 689/2012  
S.L.P.(C)...CC No. 751/2012  
S.L.P.(C)...CC No. 760/2012  
(With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

Date : 10/12/2014 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHIFor Petitioner(s) Mr. Prashant Bhushan,Adv.  
Ms. Indira Unninayar,Adv.  
Ms. Kirat R.,Adv.  
Mr. Narayan Krishnan,Adv.

In-person

Mr. Sanjay Parikh,Adv.  
Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar,Adv.  
Mr. Sumit Kumar,Adv.

Ms. Anitha Shenoy,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ranjit Kumar,SG  
Ms. Binu Tamta,Adv.

UOI

Signature Not Verified

Ms. Indra Sawhney,Adv.

Digitally signed by

Sarita Purohit

Date: 2014.12.12

Mr. B.V. Balaram Das,Adv.

17:24:06 IST

Reason:

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,Adv.

1

Mr. Gopal Singh,Adv.  
Mr. Chandan Kumar,Adv.  
Mr. Rituraj Biswas,Adv.

High Court of MP

Mr. Darpan Bhuyan,Adv.  
Mr. C.D. Singh,Adv.

State of TN

Mr. B. Balaji,Adv.  
Mr. R. Rakesh Sharma,Adv.

State of Raj. Mr. S.S. Shamsbery, AAG  
Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Sandeep Singh, Adv.  
For Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.

State of Sikkim Mr. A. Mariarputham, AG, Sikkim  
Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.  
Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv.  
For M/s. Arputham Aruna & Co., Advs.

Govt. of Puducherry Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.  
Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv.  
Mr. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.

State of Uttarakhand Mr. Ashutosh Kr. Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.

State of HP Mr. Suryanarayana Singh, AAG  
Ms. Pragati Neekhara, Adv.

Andaman & Nicobar Admn. Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.  
Ms. G. Indira, Adv.

NCT of Delhi Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv.  
Ms. Gunwant Dara, Adv.  
For Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

State of Guj. Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.  
Ms. Jesal, Adv.  
Ms. Puja Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Preeti Bhardwaj, Adv.

State of Haryana Mr. Manjit Singh, AAG  
Ms. Nupur Choudhary, Adv.  
Ms. Vivekta Singh, Adv.  
For Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

State of Assam Ms. Riku Sarma, Adv.  
Mr. Navnit Kumar, Adv.  
For M/s. Corporate Law Group, Advs.

2

State of Nagaland Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv.  
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.

Delhi High Court Mr. Annam D. N. Rao, Adv.  
Ms. Neelam Jain, Adv.  
Mr. A. Venkatesh, Adv.

High Court of Bombay Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.  
Mr. Nitin Lonkar, Adv.  
Mr. A. Selvin Raja, Adv.

Allahabad High Court Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, Adv.  
Mr. Vibhu Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, Adv.  
Mr. Pawan Shree Agrawal, Adv.  
Mr. Aakash Garg, Adv.

State of Odisha Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, Adv.  
Mr. Santosh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Khwairakpam Nobin Singh, Adv.

Mr. Ratan Kumar Choudhuri, Adv.  
Mr. T. Harish Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Radha Shyam Jena, Adv.  
Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, Adv.  
Mr. M.R. Shamsad, Adv.  
Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.  
Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.  
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv.  
Mr. G.N. Reddy, Adv.  
Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran, Adv.  
Mr. T.G. Narayanan Nair, Adv.  
Mr. G. Prakash, Adv.  
Mr. G.S. Chatterjee, Adv.  
Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.  
Mr. Bharat Sangal, Adv.  
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.  
Mr. P.I. Jose, Adv.  
Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.  
Mr. Sibbo Sankar Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat, Adv.  
Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Jogy Scaria, Adv.

3

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel.

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, learned Solicitor General,  
appearing for the Union of India, has submitted that the  
issues which are involved in these petitions are also  
involved in Criminal Appeal Nos.254-262 of 2012 Imtiyaz  
Ahmad Vs. State of U.P. & Ors., which is being heard by  
this Court.

We have further noticed the fact that the Judiciary  
has now considered most of the issues, which have been  
raised in these petitions, independently and finally. In  
the circumstances, in our opinion, these petitions are  
required to be closed.

The petitions stand disposed of accordingly.

(Sarita Purohit)  
Court Master

(Jaswinder Kaur)  
Court Master