

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 2070 OF 2012 IN CIVIL APPEAL 2619/2002

PRAGATI MAHILA NANDED Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MUN.COUNCIL NANDED & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission and c/delay in filing review petition,
exemption from filing c/c of the impugned order and office report)

Date: 07/02/2013 This Petition was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

Review Petition is dismissed in terms of signed order.

(Pardeep Kumar)		(Renu Diwan)	
Court Master		Court Master	

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE]

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO. 2070 OF 2012
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2619 OF 2002

SITA RAM (D) BY LRS.

...PETITIONERS

Versus

PRAGATI MAHILA, NANDED & ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

I.A. No. 1 for permission to file Review Petition is granted.

I.A. No. 3 for exemption from filing certified copy of the impugned order is allowed.

By this petition, the petitioners seek review of the judgment dated February 18, 2011.

There is a delay of 178 days in filing the present Review Petition for which no satisfactory explanation has been given in the application for condonation of delay. Review Petition is liable to be dismissed on the ground of delay itself. However, We have carefully considered the Review Petition and the available material. The above judgment does not suffer from any mistake apparent on the face of the record warranting any review.

: 2 :

Review Petition is, accordingly, dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

.....J.
[J. CHELAMESWAR]

.....J.
[RANJAN GOGOI]

NEW DELHI,
FEBRUARY 7, 2013.