

ITEM NO.35

COURT NO.7

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E

C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).26703/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 14/07/2011 in RP No.419/2011
of The NATIONAL CONSUMERS DISPUTES REDRESSAL COMMISSION, NEW DELHI)

P.VENKAYAMMA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

L.I.C.OF INDIA

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 10/10/2011

This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Adv.
Mr. Prabhakar Parnam, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

This petition is directed against order dated 14.07.2011 of the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission (for short, 'the National Commission'), whereby the revision filed by the respondent against order of the A.P.State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission was allowed and the order passed by the District Forum dismissing the complaint of the petitioner was restored.

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

2

perused the record.

In our view, the finding recorded by the District Forum, which has been approved by the National Commission, that while taking fifth policy, the petitioner's husband has made false statement about the state of his health is based

on correct appreciation of the evidence produced by the parties and the impugned order does not suffer from any legal infirmity warranting interference under Article 136 of the Constitution of India.

The special leave petition is accordingly dismissed.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master