

ITEM NO.5

COURT NO.1

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. 2/2016 in Civil Appeal No(s). 5651/2007

UNION OF INDIA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SAKAL DEV

Respondent(s)

(for early hearing and office report)

WITH

I.A. No.2 in C.A. No. 5648/2007

(With appln.(s) for early hearing and Office Report)

I.A. No.2 in C.A. No. 5653/2007

(With appln.(s) for early hearing and Office Report)

Date : 19/09/2016 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. P.S. Patwalia, ASG

Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.

Ms. Natasha Vinayak, Adv.

Mr. M.K. Maroria, Adv.

Mr. D. S. Mahra, Adv. (Not present)

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Rajesh Sharma, Advk.

Ms. Nidhi Singh Dubey, Adv.

Ms. Shalu Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents points out that several similar petitions/appeals filed by the Union of India against the identical orders have already been

dismissed by this Court in terms of the different orders passed in those matters. Even the review petitions filed by the Union of India against the same dismissal have been rejected by this Court. Resultantly, all those similarly situated, as the respondents herein, have been taken back in service. He submits that there is no reason why this Court should interfere with the orders passed on similar basis in the present batch of cases, especially when this Court has found no reason to interfere in the others.

List these matters again on Monday, the 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 along with similar other petitions/appeals, particulars whereof shall be furnished by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents to the Registry within two days.

[VEENA KHERA]  
COURT MASTER

[SUKHBIR PAUL KAUR]  
A.R.-CUM-P.S.