

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 1238 OF 2011

DHANWANT RAI MITTAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NAVDEEP SINGH

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 09/01/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Amarnath, Adv.
Ms. Anuradha Mutatkar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

By order dated 14.11.2011, the Hon'ble Court has permitted dasti service and stayed further proceeding in CA No.141/2011 which is pending in the Court of District and Sessions Judge, Ludhiana(Punjab). Therefore, it would be very easy for the petitioner to confirm service upon the unserved respondent through such court where some litigation is pending. The fact remains that there is a decree in favour of the respondent and therefore, it cannot be believed that he is not traceable.

Item No.102

-2-

Learned counsel for the petitioner is waiting for office report even though there is specific order dated 14.11.2011. Then practically they would have to file proof of service upon the unserved respondent at the earliest.

If proof is not filed on record on or before 24.1.2012, list before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for non-prosecution.

(S.G. SHAH)
REGISTRAR

rd