

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Review Petition (C) No.602/2006 in W.P.(C) No.435/2002

U.P. Jal Nigam

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

Rama Kant Gupta

Respondent (s)

(With appln. for permission to file amended review petition)

With

R.P.(C) No.603/2006 in W.P.(C) 576/2002

R.P.(C) No.604/2006 in W.P.(C) 681/2002

R.P.(C) No.605/2006 in W.P.(C) 377/2002

R.P.(C) No.606/2006 in W.P.(C) 441/2002

(With appln. for permission to file amended review petitions)

Date : 20/09/2006 These Petitions were circulated in Chambers today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

For Petitioner (s)

By Circulation

For Respondent (s)

UPON perusing papers, the Court made the following

O R D E R

The applications for permission to file review petitions are allowed and the review petitions are dismissed.

(N. Annapurna)  
Court Master

(V.P. Tyagi)  
Asstt. Registr

ar

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO.602 OF 2006

IN

WRIT PETITION (C) NO.435 OF 2002

U.P. Jal Nigam

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Rama Kant Gupta

...Respondent(s)

W I T H

R.P.(C) No.603/2006 in W.P.(C) 576/2002

R.P.(C) No.604/2006 in W.P.(C) 681/2002

R.P.(C) No.605/2006 in W.P.(C) 377/2002

R.P.(C) No.606/2006 in W.P.(C) 441/2002

O R D E R

The applications for permission to file the amended review petitions are

allowed.

We have gone through the review petitions. In our view, no case for review of our judgement dated 18th November, 2005 is made out. The review petition are, accordingly, dismissed.

.....CJI.

(Y.K. SABHARWAL)

.....J.

(B.N. AGRAWAL)

New Delhi,

September 20, 2006.