

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Miscellaneous Application No.1602/2026 in SLP(C) No.13776/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-04-2026
in SLP(C) No.13776/2026 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

MANISHA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 147049/2026 - EXTENSION OF TIME

Date : 15-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALEFor Petitioner(s) : Dr. Shashi Kiran, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Sadhana Sandhu, AOR
Dr. Satish Chandra, Adv.
Mr. Arjun Sain, Adv.
Ms. Josmine, Adv.
Mr. Mayank Pandey, Adv.For Respondent(s) : Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, ASG
Ms. Poornima Singh, Adv.
Ms. Mehek Sandhu, Adv.
Shreya Jain, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The applicant had challenged the order dated 06.04.2026 passed in W.P. (C) No.4122/2026 by the High Court of Delhi whereunder the applicant was directed to vacate the Government accommodation in her occupation and shift to the Barracks occupied by women. In the said Writ Petition, the applicant had alleged that eviction order was passed without notice and in violation of principles of natural justice amongst other grounds. The said Writ Petition came to be

dismissed after having found that the applicant was staying alone and family visited occasionally and the said accommodation allotted to the applicant was meant for family and the inspection revealed violation of the conditions. However, considering the plight of the applicant and taking into consideration that applicant was a lady, two weeks' time was granted by the High Court to the applicant to vacate.

2. Not being satisfied, she approached this Court in SLP (C) No.13776/2026 which came to be dismissed vide order dated 20.04.2026. However, this Court extended the olive branch by granting the time to vacate on or before 16.05.2026 and subject to an affidavit of undertaking being filed within one week. Undisputedly, no affidavit of undertaking has been filed. However, the present Miscellaneous Application has been filed seeking for extension of time and to direct the respondents to consider her plea for re-allotment of Government married accommodation to the applicant on the ground that her husband has not availed any Government accommodation and as such she is eligible for such allotment under the applicable rules. In other words, the applicant is attempting to review the order passed by this Court in disguise.

3. This Court in *Supertech Limited Vs. Emerald Court Owner Resident Welfare Association and Others in MA No.1572/2021 in C.A. No.5041/2021*, which was disposed of on 04.10.2021, has held that after the pronouncement of the judgment, there can be no legal foundation for entertaining such application for variation, modification, clarification or otherwise. This application falls fully within the four corners of seeking for modification of the

order passed by this Court and requires to be dismissed with exemplary costs. Accordingly, we dismiss the same with cost of Rs.10,000/- payable to the Army Welfare Fund. Failure to pay the said amount, the respondents would be liberty to recover the same from the salary of the applicant. The time granted by this Court to the applicant to quit, vacate and handover the peaceful possession is extended upto 30th May, 2026 subject to affidavit of undertaking being filed within three days from today.

4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NEHA GUPTA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(AVGV RAMU)
COURT MASTER (NSH)