

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL No.3519 OF 2008

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.
APPPELLANTS

.....APPEL

VERSUS

DARSHAN RAM
RESPONDENT

.....RESP

O R D E R

We have heard Ms.Nupur Chaudhary, learned counsel for the appellants.

The solitary direction contained in the impugned order dated 26.09.2005, passed by the High Court was, that even though the respondent had continued in service beyond May, 2002, he had not been paid wages for the same. The High Court, therefore, directed for payment of wages to the respondent. We find no infirmity in the above direction. Since the respondent had discharged his duties towards the appellants, it was imperative for the appellants to pay him wages in lieu thereof.

Insofar as the issue of regularisation is concerned, the High Court at its own did not pass any direction while disposing of the writ petition preferred by the respondent. In fact, the appellants before the High Court had voluntarily undertaken to consider the claim of the respondent for regularisation, as and when the issue

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
Satish Kumar Yadav
Date: 2014.06.03
14:24:27 IST
Reason:

of regularisation in respect of Group 'D' posts arise for

consideration. The above direction, therefore, also calls for no

interference.

The appeal is disposed of in the above terms.

.....J.
(JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR)

.....J.
(C. NAGAPPAN)

NEW DELHI;
MAY 29, 2014.

3

ITEM NO.109

COURT NO.3

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 3519 OF 2008

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

DARSHAN RAM

Respondent(s)

Date: 29/05/2014 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

(Satish K.Yadav)
Court Master

(Renuka Sadana)
Court Master