

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
Criminal Appeal No(s).1484/2010

STATE OF U.P.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SURENDRA KUMAR GUPTA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 26/03/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE

For Appellant(s)

Ms.Reena Singh, AAG
Mr.Rajesh Maurya, Adv.
Ms.Alka Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr.Sidharth Luthra, Sr.Adv.
Mr.Sandeep Narain, Adv.
Mr.Anurag Ahluwali, Adv.
For Mr.S.Narain & Co., Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Kumar Pandey, Adv.

Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, we
consider it just and appropriate to implead herein, the Ch
ief

Inspector of Factories, Uttar Pradesh.

The Registry is directed to

effect necessary correction in the memo of parties.

The appellant

is directed to serve a copy of the summons on the added respondent

No.6. The concerned Chief Inspector of Factories, who had granted

permission to M/s Swati Menthol & Allied Chemicals Ltd., Bareilly

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

Road, Rampur, to run the factory originally, as also, the one who
Satish Kumar Yadav

Date: 2015.03.27

16:25:36 IST

Reason:

had renewed the permission, are directed to be present in Court in

person, on the next date of hearing. They shall also produce before

CrI.A.No.1484/10

this Court the entire record taken into consideration, when the

licence to work the factory was granted/renewed to respondent No.1.

The appellant is directed to produce, for the perusal of this Court, the Police Diary maintained by the Investigating Officer, where the statements of the witnesses were recorded under Section 161 of the Criminal Procedure Code. If the same are recorded in Hindi, English translation thereof shall also be produced in this Court on the next date of hearing.

List after six weeks.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
COURT MASTER

(RENU DIWAN)
COURT MASTER