

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

R E V I E W P E T I T I O N ( C ) N O . 1 7 0 0 / 2 0 1 0  
I N  
C I V I L A P P E A L N O . 7 1 5 9 o f 2 0 1 0

M/S KIRLOSKAR INV. & FIN. LTD. Petitioner (s)

V E R S U S

RUKMANIYAMMA & ORS. Respondent (s)

(With appln. for stay)

Date : 06/10/2010 This Petition was circulated in Chambers today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR

For Petitioner (s)

By Circulation

For Respondent (s)

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Review Petition is dismissed.

(N. ANNAPURNA)  
AR-cum-PS

(RENUKA SADANA)  
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)  
I N T H E S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
C I V I L A P P E L L A T E J U R I S D I C T I O N

R E V I E W P E T I T I O N ( C ) N O . 1 7 0 0 O F 2 0 1 0  
I N  
C I V I L A P P E A L N O . 7 1 5 9 O F 2 0 1 0

M/s Kirloskar Inv. & Fin. Ltd. ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Rukmaniyamma & Ors. ...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have carefully examined the Review Petition and  
the record of the Civil Appeal from which it arises. We

find no merit in the prayer for review. The Review  
Petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J.  
(B. SUDERSHAN REDDY)

.....J.  
(SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR)

New Delhi;  
October 06, 2010.