

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 503 OF 2009

RAM SINGH & ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With office report)

WITH W.P(C) NO. 324 of 2011

(With appln.(s) for deletion of the name of respondent office report)

W.P(C) NO. 371 of 2010

(With office report)

W.P(C) NO. 385 of 2012

(With appln.(s) for stay and impleadment and office report)

W.P(C) NO. 415 of 2011

(With appln.(s) for intervention and deletion of the name of respondent and office report)

Date: 15/04/2014 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Kaushal Yadav, Adv.  
Ms. Mamta Rani, Adv.  
Dr. Ajay Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Praveen Kr. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Dinesh K. Garg, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Garg, Adv.  
Mr. Dhananjay Garg, Adv.  
Mr. Deepak Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Ashutosh Garg, Adv.

Mr. Ranbir Singh Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Puran Mal Saini, Adv.  
Ms. Anzu K. Varkey, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.  
  
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.  
  
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

-2-

Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, ASG  
Mr. S. Saini, Adv.  
Mr. Keshav Chaudhary, Adv.  
Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Application for impleadment is granted for the reasons stated in the application.

The applications for deletion of the names of the respondents 3 and 4 in Writ Petition(Civil) No. 324/2011 and respondent nos. 5 and 6 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 415/2011 are allowed at the

risk of the petitioners. Prayer for intervention is however rejected.

The petitioners are contract coal handlingworkers and contract parcel porters. They are seeking absorption as Group 'D' employees in the concerned Railways.

Our attention is drawn to Orders passed by this Court in writ petitions making similar prayers. The petitions have been disposed of with the direction to the Railways to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law within a time-frame laid down by this Court.

We are of the opinion that the petitioners should make a representation addressed to the General Manager of the concerned Railways setting out their respective cases and prayers and the Railways should pass appropriate orders thereon in accordance with law. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that representations will be made within a period of 15 days from today. If such representations are made, the General Manager of the concerned Railways shall consider them in accordance with law within a period of four months from the date of receipt of the representations and

-3-

pass appropriate orders thereon. We make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the petitioners case.

The Writ Petitions are disposed of in the afore-stated terms.

(Vishal Anand)  
Court Master

(Indu Pokhriyal)  
Court Master