

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 917 OF 2010

MADHAVI Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

K.SHESH KUMAR Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for stay and office report )

Date: 28/02/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Amrita Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. A. Dasaratha, Adv.  
Mr. Naveen R. Nath,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Subham Bhalla, Adv.  
Mr. Abhinav Ramkrishna, Adv.  
Mr. Purvish Jitendra Malkan,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Transfer petition is allowed in terms of the signed order. HMA No.106/2010 titled K. Shesh Kumar Vs. Madhavi pending before Court of District Judge, Panchkula (Haryana) is ordered to be transferred to the Family Court, Hyderabad. The Family Court is directed to ensure minimal adjournments, to avoid unnecessary trips by the respondent.

( Ravi P. Verma ) ( M.S. Negi )  
Court Master Court Master  
[Signed order is placed on the file]  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C) NO. 917 OF 2010

MADHAVI .....PETITIONER  
Versus  
K. SHESH KUMAR .....RESPONDENT

O R D E R

This petition is filed by the wife for transfer of a divorce petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the husband from the Court of District Judge, Panchkula (Haryana) to Court of Sr. Civil

Judge, Huzurabad, Distt. Karim Nagar (A.P.).

2. The petitioner alleges that she is staying with her mother and is dependent on her; that she has at present no source of income; that she apprehends threat to her life at Panchkula and that there is nobody in the family who could accompany her to Panchkula to defend the petition.

3. The respondent has opposed the petition. He has pointed out that petitioner is educated. It is not disputed that petitioner was working in Infosys, Hyderabad till recently. The petition shows that the petitioner's family has a house at Hyderabad and several friends. On the facts and circumstances, we consider this a fit case for transfer. The transfer should, however, be to a mutually convenient place, that is Hyderabad.

.....2.  
- 2 -

4. Accordingly, transfer petition is allowed. HMA No.106/2010 titled K. Shesh Kumar Vs. Madhavi pending before Court of District Judge, Panchkula (Haryana) is ordered to be transferred to the Family Court, Hyderabad. The Family Court is directed to ensure minimal adjournments, to avoid unnecessary trips by the respondent.

.....J.  
( R.V. RAVEENDRAN )

New Delhi;  
February 28, 2011.

.....J.  
( A.K. PATNAIK )