

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.7

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).8426/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 28/01/2010 in CRLA No.
3254/2009 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

BARHAM SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for bail and office report)

Date: 11/01/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARJIT SINGH BEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Madhukar Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Parmanand Gaur, Adv.(SCLSC)

For Respondent(s) Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Teotia, Adv.
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Vipul Maheshwari, Adv.
Mr. Praveen Marahatta, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the
signed order.

(KALYANI GUPTA)
SR. P.A.

(VINOD KULVI)
COURT MASTER

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE.]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 100 OF 2011
[ARISING OUT OF SLP(CRL.)NO. 8426 OF 2010]

BARHAM SINGH

.....

APPELLANT

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....

RESPONDENT

O R D E R

Leave granted.

We had issued notice in this matter confined only to the question of sentence.

We see from the record that the appellant has undergone 13 months of the sentence imposed on him for offences punishable under Section 325 of the Indian Penal Code.

In view of the above, facts, we feel that the ends of justice would be met if the sentence is reduced to that already undergone by him. With this modification in the sentence, the appeal stands dismissed. The appellant shall be released forthwith if not required in connection with any other case.

.....J
[HARJIT SINGH BEDI]

.....J
[CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD]

NEW DELHI
JANUARY 11, 2011.