

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2010
CC 15895-15896/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 09/06/2010 in Appeal No.287/2009 in Suit No.937/1974 and Appeal No.680/2006 in Company Application No.545/2006 in Company Petition No.141/1989 of the HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

INDRESH SHAMSUNDER ADVANI Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

LILA FILOMENA BRAGANZA & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file SLP and prayer for interim relief)

Date: 29/10/2010 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr.Adv.
Mr. U.U. Lalit, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Dinesh Mathur, Adv.
Mr. Nishant Menon, Adv.
for M/s. Dua Associates,Advs.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Milind Sathe, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr.Adv.
Mr. K.R. Sasiprabhu,Adv.
Mr. Simoiran Sharma, Adv.
Mr. R. Chandrachud, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In the facts and circumstances of the present case, we are

1

not inclined to interfere with the impugned order passed by the High Court. However, it is made clear that since the High Court has passed an order setting aside the preliminary decree and remanding the Suit No.937/1974 to the learned Single Judge for de novo trial and decision in accordance with law, all issues that are raised in the Suit and are pending could be considered in accordance with law.

It is, however, to be noted that by passing the aforesaid order, we have not expressed any opinion on the

merit of the Suit or on the locus of the petitioner herein.

The Applications for permission to file Special
Leave Petitions are, accordingly, rejected.

(N. ANNAPURNA)
AR-cum-PS

(RENU DIWAN)
COURT MASTER