

ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.7

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SCivil Appeal No(s). 9165-9172/2010

SUNIL KUMAR VERMA AND ORS

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.&amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for impleadment and Office Report)

WITH C.A. No. 6716/2013

(With appln.(s) for impleadment and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 19001/2013

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

Date : 15/10/2014 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

For Appellant(s) Appellant-in-person

Mr. Balraj Dewan, Adv.

Dr. Vinod Tewari, Adv.

Mr. Pramod Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. Pankaj Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. K. L. Janjani, Adv.

Mr. K.C. Vishwakarma, Adv.

Ms. Shalu Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Reena Singh, AAG

Ms. Alka Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Aseem Chandra, Adv.

Mr. Santosh Kumar Tripathi, Adv.

Mr. Sulabh Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. Satish Kumar, Adv.

Ms. T. Anamika, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the appellants submit that though this Court, on earlier occasion, had required the State come with some kind of suggestion/scheme, yet the State has not complied.

Ms. Reena Singh, learned AAG, appearing for the State prayed for some time to arrive at a solution as the State is keen to resolve the situation as far as practicable.

Learned counsel for the appellants submitted that matter should be heard on merits.

In view of the aforesaid, the matters are released from part-heard and be listed before the appropriate Bench.

Be it clarified the suggestion that was given by this Court shall not be pressed into service by any of the parties at the time of final hearing of the case on merits.

(NAVEEN KUMAR)  
COURT MASTER

(RENUKA SADANA)  
COURT MASTER