

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURIDICITION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3934 OF 2010

SUMAN BALA Appellant (s)

VERSUS

KULDEEP SINGH & ORS. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

On couple of dates earlier, Mr. R.S. Hegde, learned counsel for the appellant, sought and was granted time for making an appropriate application for substitution of deceased respondent Nos. 5 and 7.

2. Mr. R.S. Hegde, learned counsel, submits that respondent No. 5 was minor at the time of his death and his mother is already one of the parties as respondent No. 4 in the Appeal. He, therefore, submits that name of respondent No. 5 be struck off from the array of parties.

3. Respondent No. 5 is, accordingly, struck off from the array of parties.

4. Mr. R.S. Hegde, learned counsel, prays for some more time for making an application for substitution in respect of deceased respondent No. 7. He is granted four weeks time for the purpose, failing which Civil Appeal shall stand dismissed against respondent No. 7 without further reference to the Court.

5. Office has reported in its report dated 3rd/5th day of November, 2012 that since fresh address of respondent No. 6 has not been given, respondent No. 6 is not yet served.

6. Mr. R.S. Hegde, learned counsel for the appellant, submits that respondent No. 6 is minor and her mother is respondent No. 4 who is already served and thus service on respondent No. 6 may be treated sufficient.

7. Subject to just exceptions, we treat service on respondent No. 6 sufficient.

8. List the Appeal after four weeks.

.....J.
(R.M. LODHA)

NEW DELHI;J.
NOVEMBER 7, 2012 (ANIL R. DAVE)

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 3934 OF 2010

SUMAN BALA Appellant (s)

VERSUS

KULDEEP SINGH & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 07/11/2012 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

For Appellant(s) Mr. R.S. Hegde, Adv.
Mr. A. Mohammed Nazar, Adv. for
Mr. Rajeev Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, Adv. (NOT PRESENT)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Respondent No. 5 is struck off from the array of parties in terms of the signed order.

Mr. R.S. Hegde, learned counsel, prays for some more time for making an application for substitution in respect of deceased respondent No. 7. He is granted four weeks time for the purpose, failing which Civil Appeal shall stand dismissed against respondent No. 7 without further reference to the Court.

Office has reported in its report dated 3rd/5th day of November, 2012 that since fresh address of respondent No. 6 has not been given, respondent No. 6 is not yet served.

Mr. R.S. Hegde, learned counsel for the appellant, submits that respondent No. 6 is minor and her mother is respondent No. 4 who is already served and thus service on respondent No. 6 may be treated sufficient.

Subject to just exceptions, we treat service on respondent No. 6 sufficient.

List the Appeal after four weeks.

|(Rajesh Dham)
|Court Master

|(Renu Diwan)
|Court Master

|
|

(signed order is placed on the file)