

°
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C)NO.1054 OF 2009

BHAWNA BHAL ... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

MANISH BHAL ... RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner. The respondent, though served with a notice, has not chosen to appear.

Having regard to the facts of the case, we direct transfer of HMA No.102 A/2009 titled as Manish Bhal Vs. Bhavna Bhal, pending before the Court of District Judge, Ratlam, M.P. to the District Court in Jaipur, Rajasthan. He may try the case himself or assign the same to the Court of competent jurisdiction.

The Transfer Petition is disposed of accordingly.

.....CJI
(K.G. BALAKRISHNAN)

.....J.
(DEEPAK VERMA)

NEW DELHI;
15TH JANUARY, 2010
ITEM NO.45

COURT NO.1

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 1054 OF 2009

BHAWNA BHAL ... Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MANISH BHAL ... Respondent(s)
(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 15/01/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Saurabh Mishra,Adv.

For Respondent(s) NEMO

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner. The respondent,
though served with a notice, has not chosen to appear.

The Transfer Petition is disposed of, in terms of the
signed order.

(G.V.Ramana)
Court Master

(Veera Verma)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)