

ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.2

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No.454/2006

PREM LAL & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE SECRETARY CUM COMMISSIONER

Respondent(s)

WITH S.L.P.(C) Nos.753-754/2005 (IV-B)

Date : 17-07-2017 These appeals was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAFULLA C. PANT

For Appellant(s) Ms. Mahalakshmi Pavani, Sr. Adv.
Mr. G. Balaji, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Karan Bharioke, Adv.
Ms. Anusha Nagarajan, Adv.
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

As certain doubts have crept in, the matter was listed for hearing today.

Mr. Karan Bharioke, learned counsel appearing for the State of Punjab would apprise this Court on the next date of hearing on what basis the appellants are not entitled to get the similar pay scales that is given to the Surveyors working in the Department of Industry, especially when the Fourth Pay Commission has thought it appropriate to grant a higher pay scale to the Surveyors in the Soil and Water

Conservation Department with effect from 1st January, 1996. We are asking for this clarification from the State as the Pay Commission has considered the equivalence of duties and granted the pay scale.

That apart, it is submitted by Ms. Mahalakshmi Pavani, learned senior counsel appearing for the appellants that the similar work was performed by the Surveyors of the Soil and Water Conservation Department and, therefore, there is no rationality to deny the benefit from 1984. Whether the appellants should get the benefits or not and if so, whether they would get it from 1984 or it can be rationalized differently regard being had to the financial implications at such a distant of time, the same shall be considered on the next date of hearing.

List the matter at 2.00 p.m. on 28th July, 2017.

(Chetan Kumar)
Court Master

(H.S. Parasher)
Court Master