

Item No.4 1

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. M V RAMESH

Civil Appeal No(s). 3782-3783/2010

SITA DEVI @ SITA DEVI (DEAD)

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF BIHAR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for exemption from filing O.T. and impleadment and substitution of deceased petitioner and office report)

Date : 23/09/2016 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Mr. Rudresh Jagdale,Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Shankar Dvivedi,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Gopal Singh,Adv.

Ms. Varsha Poddar,Adv.

Mr. Harshvardhan Jha,Adv.

Ms. Yugandhara Jha,Adv.

Mr. Adarsh Upadhyay,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

What gets revealed from the perusal of the file is that earlier notice was issued to the Lrs. of the deceased respondent No.4 and the same has been returned unserved from the District Court, Chapara, Bihar stating therein that "Notice not served to Respondent No.4(i), 4(ii) and 4(iii) and not present address, notice returned" \235

Item No.4 2

Accordingly, Ld.Counsel for the appellant was directed to file

fresh address and also to take fresh steps vide order dated

11.8.2016 of this Court, but the needful has not been done so far.

However, in compliance to that, Ld. Counsel has on 6.9.2016 filed

the same address as was before and also contended before this Court

that the aforesaid respondent are residing at the same address and

deliberately avoiding the service.

Registry is therefore, directed to issue notice to these

respondents on the same address under registered cover and put up

the same with tracking report on the next date.

List again on 7.11. 2016.

(M V RAMESH)

Registrar

MG