

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).28463/2011

GIRISH CHANDRA GUPTA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

U.P INDL.DEV.CORPN.LTD AND ORS Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH Civil Appeal NO. 8651 of 2011
(With office report)

Date: 23/03/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. T. Mahipal,Adv.
Mr. Purvish Jitendra Malkan, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Rakesh Uttamchandra Upadhyay,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8651/2011

Deleted.

SLP(C) NO.28463/2011

The ld. Advocate for the petitioner states that the local Court had not bothered to help them to confirm dasti notice because dasti notices is addressed to the Advocate-on-record and not to the Trial Court.

Registry has to place this fact before the concerned Registrar (Judl.) and to confirm that whether letters to the concerned District Court are being issued or not as per earlier discussion. If it is not being issued,whenever there

Item No.24

is an order to serve notice through the Trial Court, registry has to address letter to the concerned Trial Court to help the petitioner to confirm service as per law.

In the present case also, let there be letter from the registry to the concerned District Court to help the petitioner to confirm service upon unserved respondent No.3 through the local process server who is permitted to serve notice even by affixing the same at the given address if it could not be served in due course.

List again on 7.5.2012.

(S.G.SHAH)
REGISTRAR

hj