

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).2 6 1 2 1 / 2 0 0 5

(From the judgement and order dated 2 3 / 1 1 / 2 0 0 5 in WA No. 2 4 1 2 / 2 0 0 5 of Th
e
HIGH C O U R T O F K E R A L A A T E R N A K U L A M)

M U R A L E E D H A R A N N A I R G.

Petitioner(s)

V E R S U S

K E R A L A S T A T E P U B L I C S E R V I C E C O M M . & O R S .

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to place addl. documents on record, permission to file
additional documents, exemption from filing O.T. and prayer for interim relief and
office report)

Date: 1 7 / 0 8 / 2 0 0 7 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

C O R A M :

H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E H . K . S E M A

H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E L O K E S H W A R S I N G H P A N T A

For Petitioner(s)

Dr. K. P . K y l a s a n a t h a P i l l a y , A d v .

Mr. Ayyobu Kh an, Adv.

Mr. Ch. Leela Sarveswa r, Adv.

Mr. G. Ra m a k r i s h n a P r a s a d, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Vipin Nair, Adv.

Mr. P. B . Suresh, Adv.

for M/S. Temple Law Fir m, Adv.

Mr. R. Sathish , Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Ravi P. Verm a)

Court Master

(Anand Singh)

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

I N T H E S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

C I V I L A P P E L L A T E J U R I S D I C T I O N

C I V I L A P P E A L N O . 3 8 0 9 O F 2 0 0 7

[Arising out of SL P (C) No.2 6 1 2 1 / 2 0 0 5]

M U R A L E E D H A R A N N A I R G.

.....A P P E L L A N T

(S)

Versus

K E R A L A P U B L I C S E R V I C E C O M M I S S I O N &

.....R E S P O N D E N T

(S)

O R S .

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The dispute involved in this appeal is with regard to the appointment to the post of Lecturer in Commerce discipline.

Applications were invited by a notification dated 17 / 02 / 2004 .

The application of the appellant was rejected on the ground that he is not a

holder of Ph.D. degree. By an interim order dated 27 / 02 / 2006 , this

Court allowed the appellant to participate in the interview as and when

called for. Pursuant to our interim order, the appellant appeared before

the Interview Board. It is stated that the result has been declared and

the name of the appellant is not found in the merit list. In this view,

nothing survives in this appeal for consideration. The appeal is,

accordingly, dismissed.

.....J .
(H.K. S E M A)

New Delhi;J .
August 17, 2007 . (L O K E S H W A R S I N G H P A N T A)