

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR H.B. PRABHAKARA SASTRY

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).8716/2008

T.S.K.MURTHY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PADHARI GANPAT CHAVAN & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for substituted service)

Date: 07/09/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The ld. Counsel for the petitioner alone present.

A perusal of the office report does not give a clear picture of the stage of the case. In the first place it is shown in the office report that application for paper publication was placed before the Registrar Court on 3.8.2011 whereas in the reasoning column at Sr. No.1 it says that notice for publication was issued on 30.5.2011 which means about two months prior to placing the paper publication application before the Registrar, notice for publication is shown to have been issued. Office report is also not clear as to against which respondent paper publication was ordered/issued. Hence, office is directed to submit a clear and complete report in this regard.

List again on 10.10.2011.

(H.B. PRABHAKARA SASTRY)  
REGISTRAR

hj