

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) Nos.23240-23310/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 19/08/2009 in WP Nos.22192-22203/2009 connected with WP No.22382/2009, WP Nos.22386-22395/2009, WP No.22396/2009, WP Nos.22406-22410/2009, WP No.22413/2009, WP Nos.23492-23495/2009, WP No.23496/2009, WP Nos.23647-23656/2009, WP No.22531/2009, WP Nos.22628-22634/2009, WP Nos.22635-22641/2009, WP No.22915/2009, WP No.23160/2009, WP No.23594/2009, WP Nos.23595-23596/2009, WP No.24440/2009, WP Nos.24656-24657/2009, WP No.24672/2009 and WP Nos.24232-24234/2009 of The HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE)

MEDICAL COUNCIL OF INDIA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AIMAN AHAMED KHAN & ORS.ETC.ETC.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for clarification/modification of court's order and office report)

With S.L.P. (C) No.24437-24517 of 2009
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 30/09/2009 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Maninder Singh,Sr.Adv.
Ms. Pratibha Singh,Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Sharma,Adv.
Ms. Surbhi Mehta,Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Mukerji,Adv.

Mr. Ranjit Kumar,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Naveen R. Nath,Adv.
Ms. Lalit Mohini Bhat,Adv.
Ms. Hetu Arora,Adv.
Ms. Amrita Sharma,Adv.

- 2 -

For Respondent(s) Mr. K.K. Venugopal,Sr.Adv.
Mr. L.N. Rao,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Dayan Krishnan,Adv.
Mr. Gautam Narayan,Adv.
Ms. K. Chaturvedi,Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Nayyar,Adv.

Ms. Kiran Suri,Adv.

Mr. L.N. Rao,sr.Adv.
Mr. Sarwa Mitter,dv.
Ms. Santosh Gupta,Adv.
Mr. Amit Gupta,Adv.
M/s. Mitter & Mitter Co.,Advs.

Mr. S.N. Bhat,Adv.

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Naveen R. Nath, Adv.
Ms. Lalit Mohini Bhat, Adv.
Ms. Hetu Arora, Adv.
Ms. Amrita Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.
Mr. A. Rohen Singh, Adv.
Mr. Amit Kumar Chawla, Adv.
Mr. Vikrant Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Ramesh Kumar Mishra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

S.L.P. (C) Nos.23240-23310 of 2009:

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Medical Council of India very fairly stated that these special leave petitions have become infructuous in view of the fact that final judgment has been rendered by the High Court in the writ petitions in which the impugned interim order was passed.

The special leave petitions are, accordingly, disposed of.

....3/-

- 3 -

S.L.P. (C) Nos.24437-24517 of 2009:

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State of Karnataka after taking instructions from responsible officer of the State made a statement at the Bar that the final counselling for the unfilled seats in medical colleges is going on and the same will be completed today. He further stated that the State Government will not surrender the seats as long as there are candidates are available against the Government CET list and that no seats will be surrendered as surplus to the private colleges. In view of this statement made at the Bar, it is not necessary to decide these petitions on merits and the same are disposed of as infructuous. We have been told that writ petitions in which the impugned interim order was passed have been finally disposed of by the High Court and special leave petitions have been filed against the final order passed by the High Court.

The special leave petitions are, accordingly, disposed of.

[Alka Dudeja]
Court Master

[Savita Sainani]
Court Master